## Interview Summary

?.

<b>*</b>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/673,503	OHMI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Amir Alavi	2621

•	Examiner	Art Unit
	Amir Alavi	2621
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) <u>Amir_Alavi</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. George R. Pettit (Applicant's representative).	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 28 June 2004.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)☐ applicant's representative	]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>2-8,34-40,44-49,60-62,65,68 and 71</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: None.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	)□ was not reached. h)□ N	/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse si	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APPLICANT IS INTERVIEW SUMMARY
•		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required





Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative called Examiner to indicate that in his response to the restriction requirements and his election of Group III, he had missed to include claims 61 and 65 and at the same time Applicant argues that claims 4,6,35-39,60,62,68 and 71 should be included in the examination of Group III, while claim 66 should be excluded. Examiner agrees with the Applicant regarding all issues, an Office Action indicative of such examination along with this interview summary will be mailed out. Also Examiner pointed out that claim 8, has a dependency either to claims 66 or 7, Applicant's representative indicated that claim 66, should be rewritten as 6, also the dependency of claim 34 to claim 3, claim 39 to 34, claim 40 to 3, claim 60 to 45, claim 62 to 61 and claim 68 to 34, claim 71 to 60 were all agreed upon.